

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,464 09/28/2000		Monica G. Varriale	KCX-197 (14737)	8920		
7	590	02/04/2003				
Neil C Jones Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough Keenan Building Third Floor 1330 Lady Street Columbia, SC 29201				EXAMINER		
				LITHGOW, THOMAS M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1724	8	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$-\Omega\Delta$
		Application	No.	plicant(s)	100
		09/675,464		VARRIALE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
ø *		Thomas M.		1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the property of the part of the p	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even ion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will r statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 28 November 20	002 .		
2a)□	_	This action is r			
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except	for formal matters, pi		e merits is
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice usion of Claims	indei <i>⊏x parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.	
•	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17 and 30-47</u>		from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗀	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	bjected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ ap	proved b) disappro	oved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Offi	ce action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been	received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been	received in Applicati	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	See the attached detailed Office action for				P P A
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do				application).
	i)				
Attachmen	nt(s)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48)	· =	y (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTO	
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	ffice Action Summary	/ THOUGAS DA L	THOON Part of	Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/675,464

Art Unit: 1724

`}

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II, claims 18-29 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the additional effort to search both inventions does not constitute a significant burden on the office. This is not found persuasive because searching more than one distinct inventions in different subclasses does result in a significant burden on the patent office.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williamson (US 6274041). Williamson ('041) discloses a two

Application/Control Number: 09/675,464

Art Unit: 1724

step filter process in which a first stage filter removes bacteria via a porous charged web filter (12) followed by a second stage filter (11) which may be among other things activated carbon (granules or block type). Fig. 2 and 4 show the recited 3 stage filters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow at telephone number 703-308-0173.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724